Great Public Schools for Every Student

Engaging Classroom Discourse

Educator plans for and utilizes various strategies to encourage student discourse that supports student learning.

Key Method

The educator uses a variety of instructional strategies to expand learners' communication. The educator implements various questioning techniques, wait times, and sentence frames to provide learning experiences that promote higher-order thinking.

Method Components

What is classroom discourse, and why is it necessary?

Classroom discourse is the language used by teachers and students to communicate or convey information in the classroom (Miller, 2013).

Multiple forms of communication convey ideas and foster self-expression. Educators know when and how to use appropriate strategies to differentiate instruction and engage all learners in complex thinking and meaningful task (InTASC, 2011).

Some elements for creating student discourse

Educators can increase meaningful classroom discourse by intentionally providing the students with open-ended, higher-order thinking questions.

For example, instead of asking a student what the setting of the story is, ask him or her how the setting affected the events in the story.

Educators can also provide a 3- to 5-second wait time to increase critical thinking skills and engagement when asking and answering questions.

For example, the educator would say to the students, "I am going to give you 10 seconds to solve $x - 135 = 280 + 463$ in two different ways. Put your thumb up when you think you have an answer."

To take this strategy a step further, have the students "turn and talk" after the 10-second wait time and then ask if anyone changed their answers after discussing the solutions with their partners.

Educators can also incorporate sentence frames to assist students who struggle with articulating their ideas orally.

For example, when discussing the choices the characters made in the book Lord of the Flies, a student may want to disagree with a classmate’s viewpoint but does not know how to respond. The educator could display the following sentence frame:

That is not how I see it. I see it as____________.
Examples of strategies that support classroom discourse

- Gallery Walks
- Philosophical Chairs
- Socratic Seminar
- Affinity Mapping
- Concentric Circles
- Fish Bowl
- Turn and Talk
- Think-pair-share

For more examples, look in the resource section of this micro-credential.

What does classroom discourse look like?

- Student discourse can happen in whole group, small group, or partner activities.
- The students should be talking more than the teacher.
- Students should be learning and improving on and deepening their understandings as a result of classroom discourse.

## Supporting Research

Danielson, C. The framework for teaching (Competency 3a, 3b, 3c).


Miller, K. (2013). Unlocking Engagement through mathematical discourse. ASCD.


**Talk Moves: A Teacher’s Guide for Using Classroom Discussions in Math** offers an award-winning, unparalleled look at the significant role that classroom discussions can play in teaching mathematics and deepening students’ mathematical understanding and learning. Based on a four-year research project funded by the U.S. Department of Education.


## Resources

Academic Language and ELLs: What Teachers Need to Know


Classroom Question

[http://educationnorthwest.org/sites/default/files/ClassroomQuestioning.pdf](http://educationnorthwest.org/sites/default/files/ClassroomQuestioning.pdf)

4 Questioning Strategies for Effective and Thoughtful Teaching


InTASC Teaching Standards

Questioning: A Comprehension Strategy for Small-Group Guided Reading

http://www.readwritethink.org/classroom-resources/lesson-plans/questioning-comprehension-strategy-small-408.html?tab=4#tabs

Questioning Strategies

http://citl.illinois.edu/citl-101/teaching-learning/resources/teaching-strategies/questioning-strategies

Sentence Frames for English Language Learners


Discussion Sentence Frames


Metamorphosis Teaching Classroom Discourse Handouts

http://metamorphosisllc.com/index.php/free-resources/handouts.html

The Big List of Classroom Discussion Strategies

https://www.cultofpedagogy.com/speaking-listening-techniques/

ACPS Student Discourse Page


Submission Guidelines & Evaluation Criteria

To earn this micro-credential, you must receive a passing evaluation for Parts 1 & 3 (Overview and Reflection) and Proficient on Part 2 (Work Examples/Artifacts)

Part 1. Overview Questions

500 word total for these three questions:

Please answer the following questions:

Why did you decide to apply for this micro-credential?

Why is classroom discourse important to you and your students?

Are there any special considerations that we should know about your classroom?

- **Passing:** Answers give contextual understanding to the reviewer and exhibit some reflection on the current classroom practices. Specific examples are used from either the educator’s classroom experience or personal experiences as a learner. The examples support the answers to the questions.

Part 2. Work Examples / Artifacts

To earn this micro-credential, please submit the following three artifacts:

Artifact 1: An unedited video or audio recording of students learning, improving on, and deepening their understandings as a result of classroom discourse. This can be done in a whole group, small group, or partner setting. Teacher talk should be minimal. (10-minute maximum)

Artifact 2: A short description of the lesson being recorded. Please include the teaching strategies that you used to encourage high-level classroom discourse. This will set the context for the reviewer. The context may include the following: (300-word limit)

- Grade level
- Special needs
- Unit of study
- Outcomes expected
- Possible teacher moves in case the discussions need to be moved along. *(For example, prompts, sentence frames to scaffold if needed, rephrasing the question...)*

Artifact 3: A written analysis of the lesson. This should include the following: (500-word limit)
- What did you expect to happen?
- What did happen?
- Did using this strategy support student learning? Why or why not?
- What teacher moves did you make or could have made to support student discourse?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>null</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Basic</th>
<th>Developing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Artifact 1:</strong> Recording</td>
<td>Students are engaging in discourse that will improve and deepen their understanding of the concept(s) being taught</td>
<td>Students are talking to each other with minimal teacher talk</td>
<td>Teacher talk dominates the discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teacher talk is minimal</td>
<td>The discussion may not support or deepen students understanding of the concept(s) being taught</td>
<td>Little or no evidence of the educator planning for student discourse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The teaching strategy used is easy to identify</td>
<td>The teaching strategy used is not evident in the discourse</td>
<td>Recording or video is of poor quality and cannot be understood by the reviewer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>null</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Basic</th>
<th>Developing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Artifact 2:</strong> Lesson Description</td>
<td>Lesson description includes: Outcomes Strategies Teacher moves Context for the lesson</td>
<td>Lesson includes some of the details needed for the reviewer to understand the context of the recording or video, but some important details were left out</td>
<td>Lesson does not show any intentional planning for student discourse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>null</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Basic</th>
<th>Developing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Artifact 3:</strong> Analysis</td>
<td>Analysis shows an understanding of how planning for classroom discourse supported student learning</td>
<td>Analysis may not show a connection between the lesson plan and the recording or video</td>
<td>Analysis does not include details and/or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specific examples from the recording or video are cited and matched with the intentional teaching strategies and teacher moves that were outlined in the lesson description</td>
<td>Specific examples are not given to support analysis of the lesson and/or</td>
<td>Analysis doesn’t match the lesson or the recording</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and/or</td>
<td>The examples don’t match the lesson plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reflection

500 words

Answer the following three questions:

What impact did working on this micro-credential have on your classroom practice?

How did intentionally planning for student discourse improve your students’ level of discussion?

What will you do differently next time?

Are there any strategies that you would like to try out in your next lesson?

- **Passing:** Responses completely address each of the questions using specific examples from their classroom practice to support the answers. The answers show reflection on current practice as well as plans to continue to incorporate the learning into future lessons. Writing is organized and easy to read.

Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under:
Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/