Great Public Schools for Every Student

Technology Integration: Facilitator

Educator uses technology to facilitate learning.

**Key Method**

The educator uses digital tools to facilitate a learning culture that challenges students to take ownership of learning and use higher-level thinking, including computational thinking.

**Method Components**

**Higher-Level Thinking Skills**

Students are more engaged and have deeper learning when they are given the opportunity to engage in higher-level thinking. Bloom’s Taxonomy is a good model to refer to, to help you create and deliver lessons that incorporate these skills. The levels of the New Bloom’s Taxonomy are (listed from highest to lowest):

- Create
- Evaluate
- Analyze
- Apply
- Understand
- Remember

Computational thinking is a problem-solving process that requires higher levels of thinking. According to ISTE, the components of computational thinking are:

- Formulating problems in a way that enables us to use a computer and other tools to help solve them
- Logically organizing and analyzing data
- Representing data through abstractions such as models and simulations
- Automating solutions through algorithmic thinking (a series of ordered steps)
- Identifying, analyzing, and implementing possible solutions with the goal of achieving the most efficient and effective combination of steps and resources
- Generalizing and transferring this problem-solving process to a wide variety of problems

**Student Ownership of Learning**
Students are more engaged in their learning when they have buy-in and ownership. Some of the ways you can facilitate this are:

- Use personalized learning strategies
- Create and deliver inquiry-based lessons
- Make time for project-based learning
- Have students set their own goals
- Create and deliver lessons that incorporate elements of design thinking
- Include students in the planning and assessment of learning activities and outcomes
- Allow students choice and options for tools, process, and/or final products
- Use digital platforms/tools ubiquitously

**Supporting Research**


https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-019-09510-3


https://www.academia.edu/20387763/Beyond_Minecraft_Facilitating_Computational_Thinking_through_Modeling_and_Programming


**Resources**

**Standards**

ISTE Standards for Educators
https://www.iste.org/standards/for-educators

ISTE Standards for Students
https://www.iste.org/standards/for-students

**Articles, How-To, and Tools**

Center for Teaching Innovation - Peer Assessment
https://teaching.cornell.edu/teaching-resources/assessment-evaluation/peer-assessment


Using Technology to Develop Students’ Critical Thinking Skills
https://dl.sps.northwestern.edu/blog/2015/09/using-technology-to-develop-students-critical-thinking-skills/

What is Successful Technology Integration?
https://www.edutopia.org/technology-integration-guide-description

Technology Integration and Blended Learning
https://www.digitallearning.org/technology-integration-and-blended-learning

**Computational Thinking**

https://sgd.cs.colorado.edu/wiki/Scalable_Game_Design_wiki

https://www.agentcubesonline.com/

https://studio.code.org/projects/public

https://apcentral.collegeboard.org/courses/ap-computer-science-principles/course

**Videos(Media)**

Reimagining Classrooms: Teachers as Learners and Students as Leaders | Kayla Delzer
https://www.youtube.com/watchtime_continue=1&v=w6vVXmwYvgs


**Teaching Resources**

Kathy Schrock’s Guide to Everything
http://www.schrockguide.net/

Google for Education Teaching Center
https://edu.google.com/teacher-center/

FreeTech4Teachers
https://www.freetech4teachers.com/

Control Alt Achieve
http://www.controlaltachieve.com

**Submission Guidelines & Evaluation Criteria**

To earn the micro-credential, you must receive a passing score in Parts 1 and 3 and receive a proficient for all
components in Part 2.

Part 1. Overview Questions

400 - 500 words

Please answer the following contextual questions to help our assessor understand your current situation. Please do not include any information that will make you identifiable to your reviewers.

1. Describe what technology is available to you and assess your comfort level integrating technology in your classroom.

2. Why did you choose to focus on this micro-credential?

3. Describe your demographics and your educational setting.

4. Describe your current level of student ownership and engagement. What specific strategies/techniques/tools/platforms have you used already in your classroom?

5. What are your goals related to facilitating student learning (computational thinking, innovation, engagement, creativity, etc.)?
   - **Passing:** All questions were answered completely using specific details to support responses. Answers reflect an understanding of what engagement is, how to build engagement, and why it is important to develop student ownership of learning.

Part 2. Work Examples / Artifacts

To earn this micro-credential, please submit the following **three artifacts** combined into one document as evidence of your learning. Please do not include any information that will make you or your students identifiable to your reviewers.

In order to combine all artifacts into one document to submit, you could: scan the student work, copy and paste the student work into one document, put links to student work on a document and add the feedback, use your phone to take pictures of the annotated work and then insert the photos into the document.

**Artifact 1: Two Lesson Plans**

Write 2 lesson plans. Each lesson plan should include:

- ISTE Student Standard(s) addressed
- Learning outcomes
- Description of the lesson
- How Bloom’s Higher-Order Thinking (Create, Evaluate, Analyze) and/or Computational Thinking skills are included
- How you will encourage student ownership of learning
- Description of how technology will be integrated
- How the learning will be evaluated

**Artifact 2: Four Annotated Student Work Samples**

Four student work samples, two from each lesson, annotated with the following:

- Feedback given by peers and/or the teacher based on learning outcomes
- Student self-evaluation
- Next steps for student
**Artifact 3: Analysis of Technology Integration**

(300–600 words)

- What technology did you use for delivery of the lesson? Why did you choose this/these tool(s) as your delivery method? How did it go?
- What technology did students use to complete the assignment? Why did you choose this/these tool(s) for your students to use? How did it go?
- How did the technology integration support/facilitate student ownership of learning?
- How did the technology integration provide students with opportunities for computational thinking and/or Bloom’s higher-level thinking?
- How did your students react to the use of technology? Were there challenges?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artifacts</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Basic</th>
<th>Developing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Artifact 1: Lesson Plans</strong></td>
<td>2 lesson plans were submitted</td>
<td>2 lesson plans were submitted</td>
<td>Only one lesson plan was submitted and/or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Each lesson plan included all of the following:</td>
<td>Each lesson plan included at least 5 of the following:</td>
<td>lesson plan included less than 5 of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ISTE Student Standard(s) addressed</td>
<td>ISTE Student Standard(s) addressed</td>
<td>ISTE Student Standard(s) addressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Learning outcomes</td>
<td>Learning outcomes</td>
<td>Learning outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description of the lesson</td>
<td>Description of the lesson</td>
<td>Description of the lesson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How Bloom’s Higher-Order Thinking (Create, Evaluate, Analyze) and/or Computational Thinking skills are included</td>
<td>How Bloom’s Higher-Order Thinking (Create, Evaluate, Analyze) and/or Computational Thinking skills are included</td>
<td>How Bloom’s Higher Order Thinking (Create, Evaluate, Analyze) and/or Computational Thinking skills are included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How students were encouraged to take ownership of learning</td>
<td>How students were encouraged to take ownership of learning</td>
<td>How students were encouraged to take ownership of learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description of how technology will be integrated and how the learning will be evaluated</td>
<td>Description of how technology will be integrated and how the learning will be evaluated</td>
<td>Description of how technology will be integrated and how the learning will be evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Artifact 2: Annotated Student Work Samples</strong></td>
<td>4 student work samples were submitted</td>
<td>2–3 student work samples were submitted</td>
<td>1 or 2 student work samples were submitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All student work samples were annotated with feedback, student self-evaluation, and next steps</td>
<td>Student work samples were annotated with some feedback, student self-evaluation, and next steps</td>
<td>Student work samples may or may not be annotated with some feedback, student self-evaluation, and/or next steps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reflection

500-word limit

Please answer the following reflective questions. Please do not include any information that will make you identifiable to your reviewers.

1. What specific strategies/techniques/tools/platforms did you choose to use to facilitate learning and the use of technology? What worked and what didn’t?

2. How will you continue to facilitate student ownership and engagement, and did it change your classroom?

3. How will you continue to facilitate students engaging in computational thinking or Bloom’s higher-thinking skills?

4. Based on the learning in this micro-credential, how will technology integration change in your classroom?

**Passing:** Reflections answer all questions and cite specific examples from the planning and teaching of these lessons and it is obvious that the work has had a positive impact on both their practice and students, and includes specific actionable next steps for future classroom implementation.
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